With these assumptions three-dimensional electrondensity calculations were made using signs, for all but the (400) and (111) reflections, obtained from the spherically disordered model. The (400) reflection was put into the calculation as zero since its observed structure amplitude is small and its sign unknown. The sign of the (111) reflection was taken positive in one calculation and negative in the other. The Fourier expression was evaluated over the asymmetric unit at intervals of 1/40of the unit-cell edge. For both (111) sign choices the choline ion appeared more extended in the body diagonal direction than in any other. A distortion of the spherical choline model in this way would decrease the contribution of the choline to the (111) structure factor and hence the sign of this reflection would be the same as that of the chloride contribution, negative. Electron-density maps of the y=0 and x=y sections with the sign of F(111)negative are shown in Fig. 1. Calculations with the chloride ion contribution subtracted out show little change in the contours around the choline ion, and hence the observed asymmetry of this ion cannot be attributed to diffraction effects from the much heavier chloride ion.

That the choline ions tend to lie along the body diagonals is not surprising since this is the direction in which most room exists, $16\cdot4$ Å between the two neighboring chloride ions. It is not possible to say whether the

disorder is static or whether the choline ion is a hindered rotator in an octahedral potential field. No prominent diffuse scattering has been observed in the high temperature phase.

The able assistance of Mr Edward McGandy, Boston University, with the IBM-650 calculations of the electron density is greatly appreciated.

References

COLLIN, R. L. (1957). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 79, 6086.

- LEMMON, R. M., GORDON, P. W., PARSONS, M. A. & MAZZETTI, F. (1958). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 80, 2730.
- LEMMON, R. M., PARSONS, M. A. & CHIN, D. M. (1955). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 77, 4139.
- SENKO, M. E. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 281.
- SERLIN, I. (1957). Science, 126, 261.
- TOLBERT, B. M., ADAMS, P. T., BENNETT, E. L., HUGHES, A. M., KIRK, M. R., LEMMON, R. M., NOLLER, R. M., OSWALD, R. & CALVIN, M. (1953). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 75, 1867.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1945). Theory of X-ray Diffraction in Crystals, p. 223. New York: Wiley.

Acta Cryst. (1961). 14, 80

A neutron diffraction study of orthorhombic PbO.* MORTIMER I. KAY,[†] Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York, U.S.A. and Crystal Research Laboratory, Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa., U.S.A.

(Received 21 September 1959 and in revised form 18 December 1959)

The structure of tetragonal (red) PbO (Moore & Pauling, 1941) is comprised of layers of oxygen sandwiched between layers of lead, the lead being at the apex of a PbO₄ pyramid with a square O₄ base. The Pb-O-Pb layers repeat in c.

Bystrøm (1943) attempted to determine the structure of orthorhombic (yellow) PbO. He placed the Pb atoms quite well, but could only surmise the oxygen coordinates. He reported the space group to be either Pbma or Pb2a, N=4. The leads or oxygens by themselves must be in the centrosymmetric Pbma; however, unless both atoms have the same y coordinates, the space group must be the non-centrosymmetric Pb2a. It was this that Bystrøm felt contained his most 'logical' structure.

Since tetragonal PbO shows an interesting chemical bonding scheme, and since the oxygen in the yellow (orthorhombic) phase could not be found by means of X-ray data, a neutron powder diffraction pattern of the latter was taken.

The unit-cell edges used were those determined at the

† Visiting scientist at Brookhaven on leave from the Pennsylvania State University. Present address: Institutt for Atomenergi, Kjeller, Norway. National Bureau of Standards (Swanson & Fuyat, 1953). They are

$$a = 5.489, b = 4.775, c = 5.891 \text{ Å}$$
.

Simultaneous equations utilizing the h0l data readily established the x and z coordinates, which would be the same in either space group. The y parameter for Pb was taken as 0.25. The remaining O coordinate (y) was then found from hkl data to be equal or very close to the 0.25 assigned to Pb. *Pbma* was thus accepted as the probable space group. The positions are: $x, \frac{1}{4}, z; \overline{x}, \frac{3}{4}, \overline{z}; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{4}, \overline{z};$ $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{3}{4}, z.$

Final refinement was carried out on the x and z coordinates using Busing & Levy's (1958) least-squares program with the measurable single reflections. A few preliminary rounds of refinement showed that the structure factors included did not go far enough out in reciprocal space to give meaningful temperature factors. Therefore, B was assumed to be 0.8 Å² for lead and 1.2 Å² for oxygen, on the basis of similar structures. The final $R' = \Sigma |F_o^2 - F_c^2| / \Sigma F_o^2$ for the included reflections was 0.04. When all intensities were included, $\Sigma |I_o - I_c| / \Sigma I_o$ rose to 0.071. Refinement was thus considered satisfactory. The observed and calculated intensities are given in Table 1. The final parameters are given in Table 2, together with Bystrøm's results.

It is seen from Fig. 1 that the Pb-O-Pb layers are

^{*} Work completed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, and under Contract No. AF 18(603-35) with the Directorate of Solid State Sciences, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, ARDC.



Fig. 1. Projection of PbO on y plane, four cells. Fractions show y coordinates.

Table 1. Comparison of calculated and observed intensities

Indices	I_{c}	Io	Indices	Ic	Io
001	283	312	220	272	266
101 111 002	123 408 259	123 399 271	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 113\\ 221\\ 301 \end{array} \right\}$	690	583
$\begin{array}{c} 200 \\ 102 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 328 \\ 46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 326 \\ 40 \end{array}$	122 J 311	277	289
201	31	32	203	42	46
$\left. egin{smallmatrix} 020 \\ 210 \\ 112 \end{smallmatrix} ight\}$	444 42	466 62	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 302\\ 222\\ 213 \end{array} \right\}$	407	404
$\left.\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 021\\ 121\end{array}\right\}$	136	177	$\begin{pmatrix} 023 \\ 004 \\ 131 \end{pmatrix}$		
202 003	334	339	$\begin{array}{c} 3112\\ 123 \end{array}$	120	116
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 103\\ 022\\ 212 \end{array} \right\}$	217	195			

 Table 2. Atomic coordinates for PbO, in units of cell edges,

 with standard deviations and temperature factors, compared

 with the results of Bystrøm (1943)

Lead atoms

	M. Kay			A. Bystrøm
x	-0.0208 0.2500	$\sigma(x)$	0.0027	-0.014 0.25
9 2	0.2309	$\sigma(z)$	0.0020	0.231
в	$0.8 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm.}^2$			
Oxyger	a atoms			

x	0.0886	$\sigma(x)$	0.0025	-0.150
y	0.2500	• •		0.358
z	-0.1309	$\sigma(z)$	0.0034	-0.111
в	$1.2 imes 10^{-16}$ cm. ²			

Table 3 gives the bond distances and angles, compared with those in the tetragonal phase. Two of the Pb–O bonds shorten by about 0.08 Å to 2.21 and 2.22 Å, and the remaining two are about 2.49 Å. The Pb–O lengths in the x direction are roughly the sum of the covalent radii and those in the y direction approximately the sum of the ionic radii.

Table 3. Bond distances and angles in orthorhombic PbO compared with tetragonal PbO

Distances

Angles

\mathbf{Bond}	r Orthorhombic	$\sigma(r)$	r Tetragonal
$Pb_{I}-O_{I}$	2·214 Å	0·023 Å	2·30 Å
Pb _I -O _{II}	2.223	0.012	$2 \cdot 30$
$2(Pb_{I}-O_{III})$	2.487	0.006	$2 \cdot 30$

For numbering system see Fig. 1.

Bond	Orthorhombic	Tetragonal
$O_{\rm T}$ Ph. $O_{\rm T}^{\prime}$	00.49	1100
$O_{I-I}O_{I}-O_{I}$	90.4	118-
OIII-POI-OIII*	147.0	118
$O_{I-PDI} - O_{III}$	79.8	75
OII-POI-OIII	79.0	75
PDI-OI-PDII	120.9	105
PpIII-OI-PpIII	147.5	105
PpI-OI-DpIII	100.9	118
PpII-OI-bPIII	94.7	118

Standard deviations for all angles are ca. 1°.

* O_{III} is at y = 0.75; O'_{III} is at y = -0.25, as are Pb_{III} and Pb'_{III}.

The forces between Pb–O–Pb layers in z should be quite weak. Bystrøm (1943) does indeed point out that the crystals develop in flat c plates. The shortest Pb–Pb distance is 3.47 Å within a sheet and 3.63 between Pb layers.

The oxygens, as can be seen from Fig. 1, are surrounded by an exceedingly distorted tetrahedron of leads. The close oxygen approaches are: $O_{I-}O_{II}$, $O_{II'} = 3.15$; $2(O_{I-}O_{III}) = 3.00$; $2(O_{I-}O_{IV}) = 2.97$ Å.

The writer is grateful to Prof. R. Pepinsky and Dr B. C. Frazer for helpful discussions.

References

- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. (1958). A Crystallographic Least Squares Program for the I.B.M. 704. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report 59-4-37.
- BYSTRØM, A. (1943). Ark. Kemi, Min. Geol. B, 17, No. 8.
- MOORE, W. J. & PAULING, L. (1941). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 63, 1392.
- SWANSON, H. E. & FUYAT, R. K. (1953). Standard X-ray Diffraction Powder Patterns, Vol. II, p. 33. Nat. Bur. Stand., Wash., D.C. U.S.A.